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## OIL AND GAS PROPERTIES ANALYSIS

Tax Year 2020

June 28. 2019
Dale W. Steager
State Tax Commissioner
Department of Revenue

## Capitalization Rate Analysis and Results:

In developing a capitalization rate for use in valuing specific income-producing properties consideration is given to the three approaches generally employed in estimating a discount rate. As a matter of practicality, the Bands-of -Investment and Summatıon Technique approaches are utilized in establishing discount rates for producing oil and gas properties Data for anaiysis has been derived in accordance with current Legislative Rule Title 110, Series 1 J


| Non Liquidity Rate | Interest differential between 3-month Constant Maturity Interest Rates and a 1 year Constant |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maturity Interest Rates which reflects a reasonable time necessary to sell active property |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1yr T Bill | 30 day T Bill | Non Liquidity Rate |
| January | December | 2018 | 2.332\% | 1.973\% | 0.359\% |

Management Rate Charges for the management of investment porifolios
Fixed Rate (by Rule)
$0.500 \%$

Property Tax Rate $\quad$ Sixty percent $(60 \%)$ of State average Class lil property tax rate.
2018
$60 \%$ of 2.17
$1.302 \%$

## Inflation Rate

January
December
2018
1.910\%

## Capitalization Rate

Since the valuation of oil and gas property is predicated on a three year production. the capitalization rate will be considered in a similar manner.

|  | $\underline{2018}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | $\underline{2016}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflation Rate | -1.910\% | -2.110\% | -2.070\% |  |
| Safe Rate | 1.973\% | 0.947\% | 0.319\% |  |
| Composite Risk Rate | 12.487\% | 13.282\% | 14.998\% |  |
| Non Liquidity Rate | 0.359\% | 0.254\% | 0.295\% |  |
| Management Rate | 0.500\% | 0 500\% | 0.500\% |  |
| Property Tax Rate | 1.302\% | $1308 \%$ | 1.308\% |  |
| Total | 14.711\% | $14.181 \%$ | 15.350\% |  |
|  | 50.000\% | 33.333\% | 16.667\% |  |
|  | 7.355\% | 4.727\% | 2.558\% | 14.641\% |

Capitalizatıon Rate Rounded to
$14.80 \%$

## MULTIPLIERS FOR 14.6\% MID-YEAR LIFE (ANNUALLY)

| YEAR |  | YEAR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.934131 | 21 | 0061195 |
| 2 | 0.815123 | 22 | 0053399 |
| 3 | 0.711276 | 23 | 0.046596 |
| 4 | 0.620660 | 24 | 0.040659 |
| 5 | 0541588 | 25 | 0.035479 |
| 6 | 0472590 | 26 | 0030959 |
| 7 | 0.412382 | 27 | 0.027015 |
| 8 | 0.359845 | 28 | 0023573 |
| 9 | 0314001 | 29 | 0020570 |
| 10 | 0273997 | 30 | 0017950 |
| 11 | 0.239090 | 31 | 0015663 |
| 12 | 0.208630 | 32 | 0.013667 |
| 13 | 0.182051 | 33 | 0011926 |
| 14 | 0158857 | 34 | 0.010407 |
| 15 | 0138619 | 35 | 0009081 |
| 16 | 0.120959 | 36 | 0007924 |
| 17 | 0.105549 | 37 | 0.006915 |
| 18 | 0.092102 | 38 | 0.006034 |
| 19 | 0.080368 | 39 | 0.005265 |
| 20 | 0.070129 | 40 | 0004594 |



| Decline Rates for Natural Gas and Oil Formations: Central |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central:Braxton, Clay, Fayette, Nicholas, Webster |  |  |  |  |
| Code | Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year $3+$ |
| 12 | Alexander. Benson | -0.31 | -0.20 | -0.10 |
| 14 | Benson | -0.48 | -0.08 | -0.08 |
| 16 | Benson, Balltown+ | -0.45 | -0.16 | -0.12 |
| 17 | Gordon + | -0.30 | -0.07 | -0.07 |
| 18 | Big injun | -0.34 | -0.13 | -0.13 |
| 19 | Big Injun, Big Lime | -0.36 | -0.13 | -0.13 |
| 22 | Big Lime | -0.34 | -0.34 | -0.13 |
| 26 | Ravencliff | -0.40 | -0.40 | -0.25 |
| 93 | 4th Sand | -0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 94 | 50 Foot | -0.34 | -026 | -0.07 |
| 95 | Injun/Vveir | -0.51 | -0.26 | -0.09 |
| 96 | Maxton | -0.70 | -0.27 | -0.08 |
| 109 | Trenton/Deeper * | -0.41 | -0.22 | -0.09 |
| 110 | Marcellus* | -0.41 | -0.22 | -0.09 |
| 111 | Utica* | -0.41 | -0.22 | -0.09 |
| 9 E | Exception (Miedian) | -0.41 | -0.22 | -0.09 |
| 10 | Non-Filer | -0.30 | -0.07 | -0.07 |

[^0]| Decline Rates for Natural Gas and Oil Formations: East |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East: Berkeley, Grant, Greenbrier, Hampshire, Hardy, Jefferson, Mineral, Mionpoe, Morgan, Pendleton, Pocahontas, Preston, Randolph, Summers, Tucker |  |  |  |  |
| Code | Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year $3+$ |
| 1 | Oriskany | -0.30 | -0.30 | -0.19 |
| 14 | Benson | -0.31 | -0.17 | -0. 12 |
| 20 | Benson + | -0.44 | -0.20 | -0.08 |
| 21 | Benson, Fifth | -0.29 | -028 | -009 |
| 32 | Brallier + | -048 | -0.20 | -0.05 |
| 33 | Elk, Benson | -0.39 | -0.21 | -0.08 |
| 34 | Elk, Benson, Riley | -0.53 | -0.19 | -0.05 |
| 35 | Elk, Benson, Riley + | -0 36 | -0.19 | -0.11 |
| 36 | Elk, Benson, Baltown | -0.34 | -0.18 | -0.11 |
| 37 | Elk, Alexander Benson | -0.50 | -0.07 | -0.07 |
| 38 | Elk, Alexander, Benson + | -0.40 | . 016 | -0.96 |
| 39 | Hunterville | -0.31 | -0.31 | -0.14 |
| 40 | Fox. Haverty | -0.36 | -0.21 | -0.15 |
| 93 | 4th Sand | -0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 94 | 50 Foot | -0.34 | -0.26 | -0.07 |
| 95 | Injun $N$ Veir | -0.51 | -0.26 | -0.09 |
| 96 | Maxton | -0.70 | -0.27 | -0.08 |
| 110 | Marcellus | -0.59 | -0.22 | -0.17 |
| 111 | Utica* | -0.59 | -0.22 | -0.17 |
| 9 | Exception (Median) | -0.41 | -0.22 | -0.10 |
| 10 | Non-Filer | -0.29 | -0.07 | -0.05 |


| Dectine Rates for Natural Gas and Oil Formations: North |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North: Brooke, Hancock, Marshall, Ohio, Tyler, Wetzel |  |  |  |  |
| Code | Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year $3+$ |
| 11 | Gordon | -0.47 | -0.31 | -0.09 |
| 13 | Alexander, Benson, Riley | -026 | -0.16 | -0.15 |
| 15 | Benson, Riley | -0.18 | -0.16 | -0.06 |
| 93 | 4th Sand | -0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 94 | 50 Fool | -0.34 | -0.26 | -0.07 |
| 95 | Injun/Veir | -0.51 | -0.26 | -0.09 |
| 96 | Maxton | -0.70 | -0.27 | . 0.08 |
| 97 | Coalbed Niethane (Vertical) | -023 | -0.08 | -0.05 |
| 110 | Marcellus | -0.52 | -0.23 | -0.18 |
| 111 | Utica* | -0.52 | -0.23 | -0.18 |
| 9 | Exception (Median) | -0.39 | -0 23 | -0.08 |
| 10 | Non-Filer | -0.18 | -0.16 | -0.06 |


| Decline Rates for Natural Gas and Oil Formations: North Central |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Central: Barbour, Doddridge, Gilmer, Harrison, Lewis, A\&arion, Monongalia, Taylor, Upshur |  |  |  |  |
| Code | 1 Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year $3+$ |
| 11 | Gordon | -0.41 | -0.14 | -0.12 |
| 12 | Alexander, Benson | -0.37 | -0 19 | -0 11 |
| 13 | Alexander. Benson Riley | -0.40 | -028 | -0.05 |
| 14 | Benson | -0.31 | -017 | -0.12 |
| 15 | Benson. Riley | -0.34 | -0.17 | -0.14 |
| 18 | Big Injun | -0.36 | -016 | -0.13 |
| 21 | Benson. Fifth | -0 31 | -0.20 | -0.14 |
| 28 | Weir | -0.34 | -0.34 | -0.07 |
| 29 | Weir + | -0.28 | -0.28 | -0.23 |
| 33 | Elk, Benson | -0.34 | -016 | -0.11 |
| 34 | Elk. Benson, Riley | -0.42 | -0.27 | -0.08 |
| 37 | Elk, Alexander,Benson | -0.49 | -023 | -0.08 |
| 38 | Elk, Alexander, Bensori 4 | -0.38 | -020 | -0.12 |
| 40 | Fox, Haverty | . 046 | . 016 | -0.08 |
| 50 | Rhinestreet | -028 | -003 | -0.03 |
| 57 | Alexander. Benson. Ballitown | -0 39 | .026 | -0.08 |
| 58 | Alexander | -0.35 | -0.20 | -0.10 |
| 59 | Alexander + | -0.39 | -0.22 | -0.10 |
| 60 | Alexander, Benson. Riley + | -0.39 | -0.35 | -0.12 |
| 61 | Ballown | -0.35 | -020 | -0.10 |
| 62 | Balltown, Speechley | -028 | -0.22 | -0.10 |
| 63 | Bailtown, Speechley + | -0.30 | -0.13 | -0.10 |
| 64 | Benson, Ballown, Speechley | -0.28 | -0.22 | -0 09 |
| 65 | Benson, Bradford | -0.37 | -0.20 | -0.10 |
| 66 | Benson. Ballown | -0.29 | -0.23 | -0.11 |
| 67 | Benson, Riley + | -0.38 | -0.14 | -0.10 |
| 68 | Benson, Speechley | -0.30 | -0.22 | -0.14 |
| 69 | Brallier, Elk | -0.42 | -0.20 | -0.13 |
| 70 | Braller | -0.40 | -0.22 | -0 15 |
| 71 | Deeper/Onondaga or Oriskany/Helderberg | -0.24 | -0.24 | . 0.03 |
| 72 | Elk Alexanoer | -0.42 | . 0.22 | -0.09 |
| 73 | Elk, Benson + | -0.38 | -0.20 | -0.12 |
| 74 | Elk | -0.43 | -0.12 | -0.10 |
| 75 | Elk, Riley | -0.60 | -0.35 | -0.17 |
| 76 | Fox + | -0.46 | -0.18 | -0.09 |
| 77 | Haverty, Elk, Benson (No Alexander) | -0.35 | -0.16 | -0.16 |
| 78 | Haverty | -0.45 | -0.15 | -0.15 |
| 79 | Riley | -0.44 | -0.22 | -0.10 |
| 80 | Speechley | -0.30 | -0.18 | -0.09 |
| 81 | Alexander, Benson, Speechley | -0.39 | -024 | -0.10 |
| 82 H | Haverty, Elk. Alexander | -0.47 | -014 | -0.14 |
| 85 IF | Fifh, Oil | -0.45 | -0.25 | -0.22 |
| 87 \|B | Bayard All | -0.30 | -0.20 | -0.05 |
| 88 F | Fifin | -0.29 | -0.18 | -0.12 |
| 89 F | Fifth + | -0.25 | -0.15 | -0.13 |
| 90 | Gordon. Injun All | -0.41 | -0.23 | -0.23 |
| 91 S | Squaw | -0.37 | . 031 | -0.06 |
| 92 In | njun + | -0.34 | -0.22 | -0.22 |
| 934 | 4ih Sand | -0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 945 | 50 Fool | -0.34 | -0.26 | -0.07 |
| 95 In | njun/Weir | -0.51 | -0.26 | -0.09 |
| 96 N | Viaxton | -0.70 | -0.27 | -0.08 |
| 97 C | Coalbed Methane (Vertical) | -0.23 | -0.08 | -0.05 |
| 98 C | Coalbed Methanne (Horizontōl) | -0.05 | -0.05 | -0.32 |
| 109 T | 「renton/Deeper* | -0.38 | -0.21 | -0.11 |
| 110 | Marcellus | -0.59 | -0.29 | -0.23 |
| 19 U | Jtica** | . 0.59 | -0.29 | -0.23 |
| 9 E | Exception (Median) | -0.38 | -0.21 | -0.11 |
| 10 N | Non-Filer | -0.23 | -0.03 | -0.03 |

[^1]

## Decline Rates for Natural Gas and Oil Formations: North West

| North West: Pleasants, Ritchie, Wood |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year $3+$ |
| 2 | Huron, Rhinestreet | . 0.41 | -0.26 | -0.07 |
| 4 | Huron | . 0.42 | -0.24 | - 14 |
| 5 | Huron. Shales above Huron | . 039 | -0.25 | -0 14 |
| 8 | Berea | . 031 | -0 15 | -0.15 |
| 11 | Gordon | -0.38 | . 010 | -0.10 |
| 12 | Alexander, Benson (No Riley') | -0.34 | . 0.23 | -0.10 |
| 13 | Alexander, Benson Rilley | -0.32 | -0.20 | -0.10 |
| 14 | Benson | -019 | -019 | -0.10 |
| 44 | Rhinestreet. Huron, Shallow Shale | -043 | -0 28 | . 0.11 |
| 47 | Rlexanoer. Risey. (No Benson) | . $04 i$ | . 005 | -0 05 |
| 48 | Rhinestreel, Alexander, Benson, Riley | -0.31 | -0.24 | -010 |
| 49 | Weir. Squaw. Etg injun | . 027 | -0.17 | -007 |
| 50 | Rhinestreel | -040 | -027 | -027 |
| 51 | Rhinestree1 + | 0.36 | -021 | . 010 |
| 52 | All Upper Devonıan (Ur:div) | . 048 | .033 | -019 |
| 53 | Huron, Chemung | . 035 | -011 | -009 |
| 54 | Huron, Hampshire. Pocono | -0.12 | -0. 12 | -0.11 |
| 55 | Upper Devonian (Above Huron) | -0.46 | -0.33 | . 023 |
| 56 | Chemung Sands= Riley. Bradford, Baltown, Speechley, Warren (No Benson or Alexander) | -0.28 | -0.18 | -0.10 |
| 83 | Huron Oil | -0.74 | -0.44 | -0.40 |
| 93 | 4th Sand | -0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 94 | 50 Foot | -0.34 | -0.26 | -0.07 |
| 95 | InjuniWeir | -0.51 | -0.26 | -009 |
| 96 | Maxton | -0.70 | -0.27 | -0.08 |
| 109 | Trenton/Deeper * | -0.39 | -023 | -0.13 |
| 110 | Marcellus | -0.46 | -0.29 | . 023 |
| 111 | Utica** | -0.46 | -0.29 | -0.23 |
| 9 | Exception (Median) | . 039 | -0.23 | -013 |
| 10 N | Non-Filer | -0.12 | -0.05 | . 0.05 |

*Neve Tormetrontsi invoveo in recent production These will de valued with the Excepton Rates until deatire information is avalabie


| South: McDowell, Mercer, Raleigh, Wyoming |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year $3+$ |
| 8 | Berea | -0.34 | -0.15 | -0.15 |
| 22 | Big Lime | -0.31 | -0.19 | -0.07 |
| 23 | Big Lime, Maxton | -031 | -0.19 | -0.07 |
| 24 | Big Lime, Ravencliff | -0.29 | -0.29 | -0.17 |
| 25 | Berea + | -0.37 | -0.12 | -0.08 |
| 26 | Ravencliff | -0.40 | -0.08 | -0.07 |
| 28 | Weir | -0.44 | -0.20 | -0.10 |
| 29 | Weir + | -0.28 | -0.21 | -0.08 |
| 30 | Weir, Big Lime | -0.37 | -0.19 | -0.13 |
| 42 | Miaxton. Ravencliff | -0.40 | -0.08 | -0.07 |
| 93 | 4th Sand | -0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 94 | 50 Foot | -0.34 | -0.26 | -0.07 |
| 95 | Injun/Weir | -0.51 | -0.26 | -0.09 |
| 96 | Maxton | -0.27 | -0.13 | -0.09 |
| 97 | Coalbed Metnane (Vertical) | 0.03 | 0.10 | -0.05 |
| 98 | Coalbed Methane (Horizontal) | -0.05 | -0.05 | -0.32 |
| 110 | Marcellus* | -0.36 | -0.19 | -0.09 |
| 111 U | Utica** | -0.36 | -0.19 | -0.09 |
| 9 E | Exception (Median) | -0.36 | -0.19 | -0.09 |
| 10 | Non-Filer | -0.23 | -0.08 | -0.05 |


| Decline Rates for Natural Gas and Oil Fopmations: South Central |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Central: Boone, Kanawha |  |  |  |  |
| Code | Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year $3+$ |
| 3 | Devonian Shale | -0.23 | -0.08 | -0.05 |
| 4 | Huron | -0.31 | -0.15 | -0.04 |
| 8 | Berea | -0.23 | -0.14 | -0.09 |
| 18 | Big injun | -0.29 | -0.25 | -0.12 |
| 27 | Huron, Shales above Huron | -0.21 | . 0.08 | -0.05 |
| 28 | Weir | -0.30 | -0.21 | -014 |
| 29 | Weir + | -0 31 | -0.25 | -0.08 |
| 31 | Devonian Shales + | -0.27 | $-0.07$ | -0.05 |
| 86 | Big injun- Ol | -0.19 | -0.18 | -0.10 |
| 93 | 4th Sand | -0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 94 | 50 Foot | -0.34 | -026 | -0.07 |
| 95 | Injun/Weir | -0.51 | -0.26 | -0.09 |
| 96 | Maxton | -0.70 | -0.27 | -0.08 |
| 109 | Trenton/Deeper * | -0.33 | -0.19 | -0.08 |
| 110 | Marcellus * | -0.33 | -0.19 | -0.08 |
| 111 | Utica* | -0.33 | -0.19 | -0.08 |
| 9 | Exception (Median) | -0.33 | -0.19 | -0.08 |
| 10 | Non-Filer | -0.19 | -0.07 | -0.04 |


| Decline Rates for Natural Gas and Oil Formations: South West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South West: Cabell, Litcoln, Logan, Mingo, Wayne |  |  |  |  |
| Code | Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year $3+$ |
| 3 | Devonian Shale | -0.31 | -0.15 | -0.04 |
| 8 | Berea | -0.36 | -0.11 | -0.11 |
| 18 | Big Injun | -0.38 | -0.22 | -0.04 |
| 22 | Big Lime | -0.19 | -0.19 | -0.19 |
| 43 | Berea, Big Lime | -0.18 | . 018 | -0.18 |
| 93 | 4th Sand | . 0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 94 | 50 Foot | -0.34 | -0.26 | -0.07 |
| 95 | InjunMeir | -0.51 | $-0.26$ | -0.09 |
| 96 | Maxton | -0.70 | -0.27 | -0.08 |
| 109 | Trenton/Deeper * | -0.38 | -0.22 | -0.10 |
| 110 | Marcellus* | -0.38 | -0.22 | -0.10 |
| 111 | Utica* | -0.38 | -0.22 | -0.10 |
| 9 | Exception (Medıan) | -0.38 | -0.22 | -0.10 |
| 10 | Non-Filer | -018 | -0.11 | -0.04 |


| Decline Rates for Natural Gas and Oil Formations: West Central |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Central: Callioun, Roane, Wirt |  |  |  |  |
| Code | Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year $3+$ |
| 2 | Huron. Rhinestreel | -0.49 | -0.11 | -0.06 |
| 4 | Huron | -0.33 | -0.22 | -0.14 |
| 27 | Huron, Shales above Huron | -0.42 | -0.23 | -0.12 |
| 44 | Rhinestreet, Huron. Shallow Shale | -0.51 | -0.14 | -0.11 |
| 45 | Devonian Shale, Pocono | -0.25 | -0.17 | -0.12 |
| 46 | Pocono | -0.29 | -025 | -0.12 |
| 84 | Big Injun Oil | -0.49 | -0.41 | -0.11 |
| 93 | 4th Sand | -0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 94 | 50 Foot | -0.34 | -0.26 | -0.07 |
| 95 | Injun/Weir | -0.51 | -0.26 | -0.09 |
| 96 | Maxton | -0.70 | -0.27 | -0.08 |
| 109 | Trenton/Deeper* | -0.42 | -0.24 | -0.10 |
| 110 | Marcellus * | -0.42 | -0.24 | -0.10 |
| 111 | Utica* | -0.42 | -0.24 | -0.10 |
| 9 | Exception (Median) | -0.42 | -0.24 | -0.10 |
| 10 | Non-Filer | -0.25 | -0.11 | -0.06 |


| Decline Rates for Natural Gas and Oil Formations: Wrest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West: Jackson, Mason, Putnam |  |  |  |  |
| Code | Formation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 + |
| 1 | Oriskany | -0.40 | -0.40 | -0.29 |
| 2 | Huron, Rhinestreet | -0.13 | -0.12 | -0.03 |
| 3 | Devonian Shale | -0.31 | -0.15 | -0.04 |
| 4 | Huron | -0.29 | -0.14 | -0.05 |
| 5 | Huron, Shales above Huron | -0.38 | -0.15 | -0.06 |
| 6 | Huron. Berea | -0.29 | -0.08 | -0.08 |
| 7 | Berea, Devonian Shale | -0.08 | -0.08 | -0.08 |
| 8 | Berea | -0 36 | -0.16 | -0.16 |
| 93 | 4th Sand | -0.42 | -0.32 | -0.08 |
| 94 | 50 Foot | -0.34 | -0.26 | -0.07 |
| 95 | Injun/Weir | -0.51 | -0.26 | -0.09 |
| 96 | Maxton | -0.70 | -0.27 | -0.08 |
| 109 | Trenton/Deeper ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | -0.35 | -0.20 | -0.09 |
| 110 | Marcellus* | -0.35 | -0.20 | -0.09 |
| 111 | Utica* | -0.35 | -0.20 | -0 09 |
| 9 E | Exception (Median) | -0.35 | -0.20 | -0.09 |
| :O | Non-Filer | -0.08 | -0.08 | -0.03 |

## Average Industry Operating Expense Results

## CONVENTIONAL GAS

$$
\text { - Average Operating Expenses }=\$ 5,000
$$

$\begin{aligned} & \text { - Coal Bed Methane, Vertical Wells } \\ & \text { Expenses }\end{aligned}=\$ 9,000$
OIL

- Average Operating Expenses $=\$ 5,750$
- Average Enhanced Operating Expenses $=\$ 9,000$


## MARCELLUS/UTICA

- Vertical Producing Well
- Average Operating Expenses $=\$ 15,000$
- Horizontal Producing Well
- Average Operating Expenses $=\$ 100,000$


## HORIZONTAL WELLS (OTHER THAN MARCELLUS/UTICA AND COAL BED METHANE)

- Horizontal Producing Well
- Average Operating Expenses $=\$ 20,000$

Minimum Working Interest Appraisal $=\$ 500$ per well
Flat Rate Royalty Multiplier $=5.75$
Home Use Only Wells: Appraised at $\$ 500$ per well

Industrial Use Only Wells:*
MCF usage $\mathrm{X} \$ 3.15 / \mathrm{MCF}$ BBL usage $\mathrm{X} \$ 65.23 / \mathrm{BBL}$

[^2]
## Non-Filer Valuations

| Working Interest | $=\quad 150 \%$ of previous year's appraisal |
| ---: | :--- |
| Royalty Interest | $=\quad 90 \%$ of previous year's appraisal |

## Valuation

The previously discussed variables are used to establish a future income stream converted to present worth through application of a capitalization rate. The sum of the discounted future net income per year represents a reasonable estimate of market value.

## Lease Rate/Term Survey and Results

The non-producing property value for each county is determined by multiplying the average delay rental by a factor, which represents the average lease tern under present economic conditions.

As a result of higher lease terms being inversely proportional to the value of oil and gas (thus counties with little leasing or production activities reflect inflated values) and with the volatile nature of county activity, necessary adjustments in the review have been made.

A compilation of lease terms produced a statewide average of 5 years. This term (5 years) was applied to all county lease rates and compared to the appraisal rates derived from calculations using individual county data as well as regional data. The resulting calculations were reviewed and considered in the assignment of an appraisal rate per acre.

The appraisal rate/acre amounts shown on the next page are preliminary figures, which may change if additional lease data is received. These rates have been applied to all county magisterial districts with either producing wells, lease activity within the past 5 years or both. Tax districts void of activity within the past 5 years have been assigned the minimum value per acre.

OIL \& GAS RESERVE RATES FOR TY 2020


OIL \& GAS RESERVE RATES FOR TY 2020

| COUNTY | CO\# | DISTRICT \# | TY2020 S/AC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CABELL | 6 | 1 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 7 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 8 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 9 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 10 | \$25.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| CALHOUN | 7. | 1 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$30.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
| CLAY | 8 | 1 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$20.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
| DODDRIDGE | 9 | 1 | \$100.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$100.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$100.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$100.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$100.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$100.00 |
|  |  | 7 | \$100.00 |
|  |  | 8 | \$100.00 |
|  |  | 9 | \$1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
| FAYETTE | 10 | 1 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 7 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 8 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 9 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 10 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 11 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 12 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 13 | \$1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |




OIL \& GAS RESERVE RATES FOR TY 2020

| COUNTY | CO \# | DISTRICT \# | TY2020 \$/AC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KANAWHA | 20 | 1 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 7 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 8 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 9 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 10 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 11 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 12 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 13 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 14 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 15 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 16 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 17 | \$4.00 |
|  |  | 18 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 19 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 20 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 21 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 22 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 23 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 24 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 25 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 26 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 27 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 28 | \$30.00 |
|  |  | 29 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 30 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 31 | \$1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
| LEWIS | 21 | 1 | \$35.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$35.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$35.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$35.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$35.00 |
|  |  | 7 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 8 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 9 | \$1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
| LINCOLN | 22 | 1 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 7 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 8 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 9 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 10 | \$1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



| OIL \& GAS RESERVE RATES FOR TY 2020 | CO \# | DISTRICT\# | TY2020 \$/AC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY |  |  |  |
| MASON | 26 | 1 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 7 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 8 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 9 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 10 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 11 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 12 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 13 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 14 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 15 | \$25.00 |
|  |  | 16 | \$25.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
| MC DOWELL | 27 | 1 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 7 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 8 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 9 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 10 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 11 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 12 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 13 | \$20.00 |
|  |  | 14 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 15 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 16 | \$1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
| MERCER | 28 | 1 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 2 | \$15.00 |
|  |  | 3 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 4 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 5 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 6 | \$15.00 |
|  |  | 7 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 8 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 9 | \$15.00 |
|  |  | 10 | \$1.00 |
|  |  | 11 | \$15.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |









# MANAGED TIMBER PROPERTY ANALYSIS 

Tax Year 2020

June 28. 2019
Dale W. Steager
State Tax Commissioner
Department of Revenue

| MANAGED TIMBERLAND APPRAISAL RATES TAX YEAR 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (based on market 2014-2018) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rates Per Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class II Parcels |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grade 1 |  | Grade 2 |  | Grade 3 |  |
| Region 1 | \$250 |  | \$155 |  | \$50 |  |
| Region 2 | \$250 |  | \$150 |  | \$50 |  |
| Region 3 | \$280 |  | \$175 |  | \$50 |  |
| Region 4 | \$295 |  | \$190 | 。 | \$50 |  |
| Region 5 | \$205 |  | \$140 |  | \$50 |  |
| Class IIIIV Parcels |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grade 1 |  | Grade 2 |  | Grade 3 |  |
| Region 1 | \$225 |  | \$150 |  | \$75 |  |
| Region 2 | \$225 |  | \$150 |  | \$75 |  |
| Region 3 | \$245 |  | \$155 |  | \$75 |  |
| Region 4 | \$260 |  | \$170 |  | \$75 |  |
| Region 5 | \$225 |  | \$150 |  | \$75 |  |
| Region $1=\quad$ Brooke, Cabell, Hancock, Jackson, Marshall, Mason, Ohio, Pleasants,Putnam, Tyler, Wetzel, and Wood Counties |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { Region } 2=\quad \begin{array}{l} \text { Braxton, Calhoun, Clay, Doddridge, Gilmer, Harrison, Lewis, Marion, Monongalia, } \\ \\ \\ \text { Ritchie, Roane, Taylor, and Wirt Counties } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region $3=$ Barbour, Greenbrier, Monroe, Nicholas, Pendleton, Pocahontas, <br> Randolph, Tucker, Upshur, and Webster Counties |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region $4=$ Berkeley, Grant, Hampshire, Hardy, Jefferson, Mineral and Morgan Counties |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { Region } 5=\quad \begin{array}{l} \text { Boone, Fayette, Kanawha, Lincoln, Logan, McDowell, Mercer, Mingo } \\ \\ \\ \text { Raleigh, Summers, Wayne, and Wyoming Counties } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest Volumes per Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest Interval |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grades | 35 yrs . | 45 yrs. | $55 \mathrm{yrs} .$ | $80 \mathrm{yrs} \text {. }$ |  | Total |
| 1 - Site Index 75 or more | $\begin{array}{ll} 4.6 & \text { cords } \\ 1.5 & \mathrm{Mbf} \end{array}$ | -- | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.6 & \text { cords } \\ \text { 4.4 } & \mathrm{Mbf} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \text { cords } \\ & 8.6 \mathrm{Mbf} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10.5 cords } \\ & \text { 14.5 } \mathrm{Mbf} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2-Site Index 65-75 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \text { cords } \\ & 1.0 \mathrm{Mbf} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \text { cords } \\ & 3.2 \mathrm{Mbf} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 4.6 & \text { cords } \\ 5.5 & \mathrm{Mbf} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 14.9 \text { cords } \\ 9.7 \mathrm{Mbf} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 3. Site Index less than 65 | -- | 3.1 cords 0.8 Mbf | - | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 15.4 \mathrm{cords} \\ 3.7 \mathrm{Mbf} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} 18.5 \mathrm{cords} \\ 4.5 \mathrm{Mbf} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Managed Timberland Statistics

## Estimation of Required Rate of Return: Class II Rates

A. Safe Rate of Return (5 Year T-Bill Rate)

| Year | Rate | WIA \% | WIA Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 2.7480 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.9159 |
| 2017 | 1.9100 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.5094 |
| 2016 | 1.3383 | $20.00 \%$ | 0.2677 |
| 2015 | 1.5292 | $13.33 \%$ | 0.2038 |
| 2014 | 16410 | $6.67 \%$ | 0.1095 |

B. Nonliquidity Rate


| Year | Rate | W/A \% | WIA Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 0.3583 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.1194 |
| 2017 | 0.2542 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.0678 |
| 2016 | 0.2950 | $20.00 \%$ | 0.0590 |
| 2015 | 0.2683 | $13.33 \%$ | 0.0358 |
| 2014 | 0.0883 | $6.67 \%$ | 0.0059 |

C. Risk Rate (30 yr T-bills vs 5 yr T-bills)

| Year | Rate | WIA \% | WIA Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 0.3642 | $6.67 \%$ | 0.0243 |
| 2017 | 0.9850 | $13.33 \%$ | 0.1313 |
| 2016 | 1.2592 | $20.00 \%$ | 0.2518 |
| 2015 | 1.3117 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.3498 |
| 2014 | 16992 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.5663 |

D. Management Factor of 0.5\%
E. Property Tax Component
(60\% of Class II Rate)

| Year | Rate | WIA \% | WIA Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 0.708 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.2360 |
| 2017 | 0.714 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.1904 |
| 2016 | 0.708 | $20.00 \%$ | 0.1416 |
| 2015 | 0.714 | $13.33 \%$ | 0.0952 |
| 2014 | 0.714 | $6.67 \%$ | 0.0476 |

F. Inflation Rate:
(Bureau of Labor Statistics)

| Year | Rate | WIA \% | WIA Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 1.910 | $20.00 \%$ | 0.3820 |
| 2017 | 2.110 | $6.67 \%$ | 0.1407 |
| 2016 | 2.070 | $13.33 \%$ | 0.2759 |
| 2015 | 0.730 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.2433 |
| 2014 | 0.760 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.2027 |

TOTAL REQUIRED RATE OF RETURN (REAL)
LESS: PROPERTY TAX COMPONENT
TOTAL DISCOUNT COMPONENT

| $3.584 \%$ <br> $(0.711)$ |
| :---: |
| $2.873 \%$ |

## Stumpage Prices:

|  |  | Sawtimber | Pulgwood |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stumpage Price Adjustment Factor |  | 0.00\% | 0.55\% |  |  |
|  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| SAWTIMBER | Current | S/MBF at age | S/MBF at age | S/MBF at age | \$/MBF at age |
| Region | S/MBF | 35 | 45 | 55 | 80 |
| Region 1 | 198.33 | 198.33 | 198.33 | 198.33 | 198.33 |
| Region 2 | 198.66 | 198.66 | 198.66 | 198.66 | 198.66 |
| Region 3 | 219.12 | 219.12 | 219.12 | 219.12 | 219.12 |
| Region 4 | 220.51 | 220.51 | 220.51 | 220.51 | 220.51 |
| Region 5 | 170.43 | 170.43 | 170.43 | 170.43 | 170.43 |
|  |  | 1.2116 | 1.2800 | 1.3521 | 1.5508 |
| PULPWOOD: |  | \$/cd at age | S/cd at age | \$/cd at age | \$/cd at age |
| Region | \$/Cord | 35 | 45 | 55 | 80 |
| Region 1 | 6.39 | 774 | 8.18 | 8.64 | 9.91 |
| Region 2 | 5.20 | 6.30 | 6.66 | 7.03 | 8.06 |
| Region 3 | 5.24 | 6.35 | 6.71 | 7.09 | 8.13 |
| Region 4 | 10.90 | 13.20 | 13.95 | 14.73 | 16.90 |
| Region 5 | 6.01 | 7.29 | 7.70 | 8.13 | 9.32 |

## Management Costs:

| Region | \$/acre (1) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.25 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.25 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.25 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 3.25 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3.25 |

## State

Tax Rates:

| Effective Federal Income Tax Rate |  | $\underline{21.00 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Effective WV State Income Tax Rate | $(6.5 \%$ * $(1-.21))$ | $\underline{5.14 \%}$ |
| Effective WV Severance Tax Rate | $(1.5 \%$ * $(1-.21)$ | $\underline{1.19 \%}$ |

Yield (Volumes) (80 year rotation)
Timberland
Productivity Grades Site Index Yield-MBF Yield-Cords

| Grade I | 75 or more | 14.5 | 10.5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Grade II | 65.74 | 9.7 | 14.9 |
| Grade III | less than 65 | 4.5 | 18.5 |

## Managed Timberland Statistics

## Estimation of Required Rate of Return: Class III \& IV Blended Rates

A. Safe Rate of Return (5 Year T-Bill Rate)

| Year | Rate | W/A \% | W/A Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 2.7480 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.9159 |
| 2017 | 1.9100 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.5094 |
| 2016 | 1.3383 | $20.00 \%$ | 0.2677 |
| 2015 | 1.5292 | $13.33 \%$ | 0.2038 |
| 2014 | 1.6410 | $667 \%$ | 0.1095 |

B. Nonliquidity Rate
(12 Month T-Bills vs. 3 Month T-Bills)

| Year | Rate | WIA \% | WIA Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 0.3583 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.1194 |
| 2017 | 0.2542 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.0678 |
| 2016 | 0.2950 | $20.00 \%$ | 0.0590 |
| 2015 | 0.2683 | $13.33 \%$ | 0.0358 |
| 2014 | 0.0883 | $6.67 \%$ | 0.0059 |

C. Risk Rate (30 yr T-bills vs 5 yr T-bills)

| Year | Rate | WIA \% | WIA Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 0.3642 | $6.67 \%$ | 0.0243 |
| 2017 | 0.9850 | $13.33 \%$ | 0.1313 |
| 2016 | 1.2592 | $20.00 \%$ | 0.2518 |
| 2015 | 1.3117 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.3498 |
| 2014 | 1.6992 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.5663 |

D. Management Factor of 0.5\%
E. Property Tax Component
(60\% of Blended III\&IV Rates)

| Year | Rate | W/A \% | W/A Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 1.302 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.4340 |
| 2017 | 1.308 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.3488 |
| 2016 | 1.308 | $20.00 \%$ | 02616 |
| 2015 | 1.314 | $1333 \%$ | 0.1752 |
| 2014 | 1.314 | $6.67 \%$ | 0.0876 |

F. Inflation Rate:
(Bureau of Labor Statistics)

| Year | Rate | WIA \% | WIA Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 1.910 | $20.00 \%$ | 0.3820 |
| 2017 | 2.110 | $6.67 \%$ | 0.1407 |
| 2016 | 2.070 | $13.33 \%$ | 0.2759 |
| 2015 | 0.730 | $33.33 \%$ | 0.2433 |
| 2014 | 0.760 | $26.67 \%$ | 0.2027 |

TOTAL REQUIRED RATE OF RETURN (REAL)
LESS: PROPERTY TAX COMPONENT
TOTAL DISCOUNT COMPONENT
(1.245) \%

| N |  | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}$ | io | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ | - | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | ๙๐ | ๙๐ | ๐ั | ธํ | ஷீ | ๙๐ |

## Stumpage Prices:



Management Costs:

| Region | S/acre (1) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.25 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.25 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.25 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 3.25 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3.25 |

## State

Tax Rates:

| Effective Federal Income Tax Rate | $\underline{21.00 \%}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Effective WV State Income Tax Rate $(6.5 \%$ * $(1-21))$ | $\underline{5.14 \%}$ |  |
| Effective WV Severance Tax Rate | $\left(1.5 \%{ }^{*}(1-.21)\right.$ | $\underline{1.19 \%}$ |

Yield (Volumes) ( 80 year rotation)

| Timberland <br> Productivity Grades | Site Index | Yield - MBF | Yield - Cords |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Grade I | 75 or more | 14.5 | 10.5 |  |
| Grade II | $65-74$ | 9.7 | 14.9 |  |
| Grade III | less than 65 | 4.5 | 18.5 |  |




## COAL PROPERTIES ANALYSIS

Tax Year 2020

June 28, 2019
Dale W. Steager
State Tax Commissioner
Department of Revenue

## COAL CAPITALIZATION RATE

## Capitalization Rate Analysis and Results

In developing a capitaization rate for use in valuing specific income-producing propenties consideration is given to the three approaches generally employed in estimating a discount rate As a matter of practicality, the Bands-of -investment and Summation Technique approaches are utilized in establishing discount rates for active coal Data for analysis has been derived in accordance with current Legislative Rule Title 110. Series 11

| Safe Rate | 90 day Treasury Bills |  |  | Safe Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | January | December | 2018 | 1.973\% |
|  | January | December | 2017 | 0 947\% |
|  | January | December | 2016 | 0.319\% |

Risk Rate Interest differential between Loan Rate and 90 day Treasury Bills

| Loan Rate* |  | Debt Risk Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $5.90 \%$ | $4.927 \%$ |
| 2017 | $6.10 \%$ | $5.153 \%$ |
| 2016 | $5.51 \%$ | $5.191 \%$ |

Equity Differential between Equity Rates and 90 day Treasury Bills

| Equity $R$ Rate ${ }^{* *}$ | Equity Risk Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $[15.0 \% / / 1-26)]-1.973$ | $18.297 \%$ |
| 2017 | $[15.25 \% /(1-275)]-0.947$ | $20.087 \%$ |
| $2016 \quad[14.75 \% /(1-30)]-0.319$ | $20752 \%$ |  |
| -* Value Line Investment Survey Analysis |  |  |



Non Liquidity Rate Interest differentral between a 90 day Treasury Bill and a 1 year Treasury Bill which rellects a reasonable time necessary to sell active property

|  |  | IXr T Bill | 90 dT Bill |  | Non Liquidity Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January | December | 2018 | $2332 \%$ | $1973 \%$ | $0359 \%$ |
| January | December | 2017 | $1201 \%$ | $0947 \%$ | $0.254 \%$ |
| January | December | 2016 | $0.614 \%$ | $0319 \%$ | $0.295 \%$ |

Management Rate Charges for the management of investment portolios
Fixed Rate (by Rule) $0500 \%$
Inflation Rate

| January | December | 2018 | $1910 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January | December | 2017 | $2110 \%$ |

January December 2016 2.070\%

Capitalization Rate Since the valuation of active coal property is predicated on a three $y \in$ production average. the capitalization rate is considered in a sumilar manner

|  | $\underline{2018}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2017 | 2016 |
| Inflation Rate | -1.910\% | -2.110\% | -2 070\% |
| Safe Rate | 1.973\% | 0.947\% | 0.319\% |
| Composite Risk Rate | 13.617\% | 14 861\% | 15 306\% |
| Non Liquidity Rate | 0.359\% | 0 254\% | 0 295\% |
| Management Rate | 0 500\% | 0.500\% | 0.500\% |
| Total | 14 539\% | 14.452\% | 14 350\% |
| Three Yr Average: | 14.447 | Rounded to | 14.40\% |


| MULTIPLIERS: | -14.4 |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 YR | 0.935 | 9 YR | 5214 |
| 2YR | 1.752 | 10YR | 5.493 |
| 3YR | 2.467 | 11YR | 5.737 |
| 4YR | 3.091 | 12YR | 5.949 |
| SYR | 3.637 | 13YR | 6135 |
| 6YR | 4.114 | 14YR | 6298 |
| 7YR | 4.531 | 15YR | 6440 |
| BYR | 4.896 |  |  |

COAL
Price and Royalty Rate Analy sis
The development of royalty rates tor the various categories of mines and markets involves information coliection and review from a variety of sources Coal lease rates have been derived from transaction information provided by county producers assessors tax auditors. and by individual lessorsfessees involved in the specific transaction. The prices for mined coal as per 110-CSR-11. have been calculated from information provided by the WW Public Service Commission and U.S. Energy information Administration concerning pow plant fuel purchases. Prices provided by producers as part of tax filing and from data obtained from market summaries are included for comparison Because a large portion of the data used in this analysis is by law. considered confidential only a summary of the results are published.

## COAL SALE PRICES FOR TY20 APPLICATION

| GRAND SUMMARY ALL SOURCES | STEAM SPOT | STEAM TERM | MET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSC (2018) | \$54.58 | S47 48 |  |
| FERC (2018) | \$50.40 | \$52.37 |  |
| Active Return Summary (2018) | in progress | in progress | in progress |
| Coal Market Publication | \$48.11 PGH (13/3) Rat S53 59 CAPP (12/1.67) 日arge |  | \$146.81 Low Vol HCC |
| (3 year average - Platts) |  |  | \$151 90 High Vot A |
|  |  |  | S124.04 High Vol B |


| STATE STEAM PRICE |
| :--- |
| STATE MET PRICE |

S49 66 FERC \& PSC 3 Yr Ave SPOT \$124.04 Platts High vol 8 estimated while 2018 Acuve Returns are processed

| 2018 WVPSC Reporled Tons | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spot Sales } \\ & 4.050 .229 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Term Sales } \\ & 12793409 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 16843638 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COAL TY20 ROYALTY RATES | 2018 - Active Return 1YR |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2017 \\ & 2 Y R \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 3 Y R \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | \%S | \%D | \%S | \%D | $\%$ S | \% D |
| TOTAL RECORDS | in progress | in progress | 1.644 | 1.061 | 691 | 776 |
| SUM of PERCENT |  |  | 9,633 84 | 5379.27 | 4.445 .82 | 402076 |
| STRAIGHT AVERAGE |  |  | 5.86 | 507 | 6.43 | 518 |
| MEDIAN |  |  | 6.00 | 5.00 | 600 | 5.50 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE(by Active Acres) |  |  | 674 | 576 | 662 | 545 |
| ROYALTY RATE DEEP (\%) | 554 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROYALTY RATE SURFACE (\%) | 6.78 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROYALTY RATE CALCULATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steam Coal/ Deep Mine | \$49 66 | perton $X$ | $554 \%$ | $=$ | S2.75 | eer ton |
| Metallurgical Coal/ Deep Mine | S124.04 | perton $X$ | 554\% | = | \$6.87 | per ton |
| Steam Coal/ Surface Mine | \$49.66 | perton $X$ | $678 \%$ | $=$ | 53.36 | per ton |
| Metallurgical Coal/ Surface Mine | \$12404 | perton $X$ | $678 \%$ | \% | 58.40 | perton |

## Explanation of Reserve Coal Valuation

The RCVM consists of a computer model, which utilizes a database consisting of coal beds and characteristics, property locations, mine locations, sales, transportation, etc., for the entire state. An extensive algorithm calculates in-place tonnage, expected time of mining and present value for all the mineable coal on every property.

There are, therefore, no set "rates" available on reserve coal under the Rule. The RCVM values on each property will not be available until all data has been entered, after October 15 of each year. Please refer to the State Register, Legislative Rules, Title 110, Series II for details of the process.

Title 110, Series 11
Valuation of Active and Reserve Coal Property for Ad Valorem Property Tax Purposes

The above Legislative Rule was modified during the 2005 Legislative Session requiring biennal (every other year) updating of the geostatistical basis for several valuation factors used in the Reserve Coa Valuation Model. To satisfy that requirement, maps and data files concerning the Market interest Factor, the Market Mineability Factor, the Use Conflict Factor and the Environmental Factor were revised for Tax Year 2019 (next update in TY2021). Preliminary research has been conducted to determine the effects of the factors on coal valuation The results are as follows'

## Market Interest Factor

This is the relationship between transactions (sales, leases, prospects, permit applications, etc.) and mining as it relates to properties and locations. Trans_Ct is the number of transactions counted within the radius.
Radius $=5$ miles
If Trans_Ct -30 Then TransFactor $=20$
If Trans_Ci - 30 And Trans_Ct $=219 \mathrm{~T}$ hen I ransFactor -40
Else TransFacior $=80$

## Market Mineability Factor

This is the relationship between property focation and mining. through time. Determining feature is count of mines within the radius.
Radius $=2.5$ miles
Surface Mines Smune
Deep Mines Dmine
Boom Mines Bimine
Historic Mines © imine
Cuitent Mines Cimine
To assign Mine Factor
if Comme - () Then MineFactor - 20

If Come - 0 AndiOmine o Or Bame 0 ) Then Minet aciur- 40
Else MineFactor $=81$

## Use Conflict Factor

This is the relationship between oll \& gas well drilling and mining as it relates to
propenty location. Well density is in wells per square mile.

```
WellDensit * Then WellFactor - 0
WeliDensity S And NellDensity - 10 Then WellFactor 20
WellDensm - 10 And WellDensir! - 15 Then WellFactur = 40
WellDensity > 15 Then WellFactor = 80
```


## Environmental Factor

This is the relatıonship of known environmental hazards and
impediments to the likelihood of mıning occurring at this location.
The rates are compiled from maps and represent densities of problems mapped.
Envrate <= 10 or Null Then EnvFactor $=0$

Envrate > 10 and Envrate $<=20$ Then EnvFactor $=20$

Envrate $>20$ and Envrate $<=60$ Then EnvFactor $=40$
Envrate > 60 Then EnvFactor $=80$

# OTHER MINED MINERAL PROPERTY ANALYSIS 
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## OTHER MINED MINERALS CAPITALIZATION RATE

## Capitalization Rate Analysis and Results.

In developing a capitalization rate for use in valuing specific income-producing properties consideration is given to the three approaches generally employed in estimating a discount rate As a matter of practicality, the Bands-of -Investment and Summation Technique approaches are utilized in establishing discount rates for active coal. Data for analysis has been derived in accordance with current Legislative Rule Title 110. Series 1 k .

| Safe Rate |  |  | Safe Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | January Treasury Bills | December | 2018 |
|  | January | December | 2017 |
| January | December | 2016 | $0.973 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $0.319 \%$ |

Risk Rate Interest differential between Loan Rate and 90 day Treasury Bills

| Loan Rate* | Debt Risk Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $6.90 \%$ | $4.927 \%$ |
| 2017 | $610 \%$ | $5153 \%$ |
| 2016 | $5.51 \%$ | $5.191 \%$ |


| Equity | Differential between Equity Rates and 90 day Treasury Bills |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Equity R |  | Equity Risk |
|  | 2018 | [1200\%/\{1. 26$)]$-1 973 | 14 243\% |
|  | 2017 | [1200\%/(1-275)]-0 947 | 15 605\% |
|  | 2016 | \|11.75\%/( 1 - 30)1-0.319 | 16 467\% |
|  | * Value Line Investment Survey Analysis |  |  |



CAPITALIZATION RATE - 12.80\%

| MULTIPLIERS: | $\%$ |  | $\%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1YR | 0942 | 9YR | 5491 |
| 2YR | 1776 | $10 Y R$ | 5809 |
| 3YR | 2.516 | 11 YR | 6.092 |
| 4YR | 3.172 | $12 Y R$ | 6342 |
| SYR | 3754 | $13 Y R$ | 6.564 |
| 6YR | 4.269 | $14 Y R$ | 6.761 |
| 7YR | 4.727 | $15 Y R$ | 6.935 |
| 8YR | 5.132 |  |  |

## OTHER MINED MINERALS

## ROYALTY RATE SURVEY

The determination of royalty rates for other mined minerals within the state of West Virginia is dependent upon the availability of leasehold information. Since this information is limited, the Department has chosen to review data for the most recent thirty year period. A summary only of this review is shown below in order to protect the confidentiality of parties involved.

|  | DATA | AVERAGE | MEDIAN |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESOURCE | SOURCES | ROYALTY | ROYALTY | TY2020 |
| LIMESTONE | 15 | $\$ 0.22$ | $\$ 0.20$ | RATE |
| SANDSTONE | 8 | $\$ 0.31$ | $\$ 0.25$ | $\$ 0.22$ |
| CLAY/SHALE | 34 | $\$ 0.12$ | $\$ 0.10$ | $\$ 0.30$ |
| SAND/GRAVEL | 10 | $\$ 0.35$ | $\$ 0.40$ | $\$ 0.11$ |
| SALT BRINE | 1 | N/A | N/A | $\$ 0.40$ |

## RESERVE VALUES

|  | number of sales | $\$ /$ AC |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| LIMESTONE | 5 | $\$ 3,000.00$ |
| SANDSTONE | 8 | $\$ 2,300.00$ |
| CLAYISHALE | 16 | $\$ 850.00$ |
| SAND/GRAVEL | 6 | $\$ 4,000.00$ |
| SALT | 2 | $\$ 1,140.00$ |


[^0]:    * New Formation(s) involveo in recent noroduction These will be valued with the Exception Rates until decline information is available

[^1]:    
    -hese wal be valued with the exception kales ur", eecl ne iniarmation is avalatie

[^2]:    *(Also includes Department of Environmental Protection reported wells.)

